Louisville Library

LOUISVILLE DAILY COURIER.

VOLUME 37. LOUISVILLE, KY., WEDNESDAY MORNING, APRIL 1, 1868. NEW SERIES, NUMBER 105.

THE DAILY COURIER.
BY W. N. HALDEMAN.
TERM OF SUBSCRIPT'
or one year, by mail..... ..$14 00
or Six Months, by mail..... ..... 7 00
or Three Months, by mail..... 3 25
or One Month, by mail..... ..... 1 25
☞ Payable always in advance. ☜
☞ To city subscribers, per week, 25 cents, payable to the carrier. To News Agents, 1 cents per copy.

DAILY COURIER.
WEDNESDAY MORNING, -- APRIL 1, 1868.

Democratic Nominations.

AUGUST ELECTION.

FOR GOVERNOR,
JNO. W. STEVENSON.

FOR JUDGE OF THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS,
HENRY J. STITES.

FOR CHANCELLOR,
THOS. B. COCHRAN.

FOR MARSHAL OF THE CHANCERY COURT,
F. C. WELMAN.

FOR CLERK OF THE CHANCERY COURT,
THOS. W. THOMPSON.

FOR CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT,
JOHN S. CAIN.

FOR SHERIFF,
JOHN M. MARTIN.

FOR CITY AND COUNTY ATTORNEY,
F. HAGAN.

MARSHAL OF THE CITY COURT,
WM. HORAN.

APRIL ELECTION.

FOR POLICE COMMISSIONERS,
T. E. C. BRINLY.
BENONI FIGG.
JOHN H. SHIVELY.

FOR CHIEF OF THE FIRE DEPARTMENT,
M. J. PAUL.

FOR STREET INSPECTOR.
Eastern District.
M. DIETZ.
Western District.
JOHN SHELLEY

For Aldermen.

Fifth Ward—THOS. L. BARRET.
Seventh Ward—FOUNTAIN T. FOX, Jr.
Ninth Ward—W. F. DULANEY.
Eleventh Ward—E. B. SHERIDAN.

For Common Councilmen.

Fifth Ward—HENRY C. HAMILTON.
Sixth Ward—GEORGE BROBSTON.
Seventh Ward—J. GUTHRIE COKE.
Eighth Ward—GEO. C. SHADBURNE.
Ninth Ward—CAPT. BART. W. JENKINS.
Tenth Ward—DR. JOHN LLOYD.
Eleventh Ward—M. W. LaRUE.
Twelfth Ward—DR. G. W. WALLING.

For School Trustees.

Fifth Ward—HENRY McDONALD.
Sixth Ward—ALEX. DUVALL, Sr.
Seventh Ward—DR. PRESTON B. SCOTT.
Eighth Ward—DR. J. J. O'REILLY.
Ninth Ward—JOS. B. READ.
Tenth Ward—THOS. R. BAIRD, LOUIS EICHRODT.
Eleventh Ward—H. KIRKLIGHTER.
Twelfth Ward—GEO. A. SCOTT.

DEMOCRATIC MEETINGS.

First Ward Club.

The First Ward Democratic Club will meet at Woodland Gardenjon Wednesday, April 1, at 7½ o'clock. A full attendance is desired, as business of importance will be transacted.

WM. F. DUERSON, Sec'y.

Fifth Ward Club.

A called meeting of the Fifth Ward Democratic Club will be held on Wednesday evening, April 1st, at 7½ o'clock, at Metz's Hall, on Preston street. A full attendance is desired.

By order of the President,
H. T. JEFFERSON, Sec'y.

Sixth Ward Club.

There will be a meeting of the Sixth Ward Democratic Club at Beck's Hall Wednesday evening, April 1, at 7½ o'clock. Business of importance will be brought before the meeting.

J. M. REAMER, Sec'y.

Ninth Ward.

The Ninth Ward Democratic Club will meet on Friday evening, April 3d, 1868, at 7½ o'clock. All Democrats of the ward, and the candidates for the various offices, are requested to be present.

J. E. PILCHER, Sec'y.

Democratic Meeting—Bullitt County.

The Democrats of Bullitt county are requested to meet in convention, at the court-house in Shepherdsville, on the 13th day of April (County Court day), for the purpose of appointing delegates to a convention, to be held in Louisville, for the purpose of nominating a candidate for Circuit Judge and Commonwealth's Attorney for this Judicial District. A. H. FIELD, Ch'm'n Co. Com.
E. H. LANEDALE, Sec'y.

NEWS AND PERSONAL.

FARMERS in Wisconsin were plowing and seeding on the 22d ult.

EASTPORT, Penobscot and Lubec, Maine, went Republican at the municipal election Monday.

NINE THOUSAND bales of cotton were sold in New York yesterday at Sterling middling uplands.

AT URBANA, Ohio, Monday night, burglars entered the store of Cundiff & Emerell, and stole about $1,000 worth of silks.

THE NEW YORK City Grand Jury recommends the establishment of founding hospitals as a check to the crime of infanticide in that city.

AT MAGNOLIA, Wisconsin, on Sunday, George Barrette killed his brother Oliver, in a quarrel, by stabbing him.

TEN HORSES were burned and several drowned by jumping into the river from a burning train at Montpelier, Vt.

NICHOLAS SMITH confesses to setting fire to eighteen different buildings in Buffalo, New York, during the past two months.

A HORSE RAILROAD and the tool to the latest female fashion in New York. This is an improvement upon beetles alone.

AN ALBANY, N. Y., paper says that a young clerk of that city was arrested on a charge of paternity preferred by a "maiden mother." They must have queer mai ds out that way.

A WOMAN named Mary Newcastle, residing at 186 Charlotte street, Cincinnati, committed suicide yesterday morning by jumping into a cistern. The fall broke her neck.

THE BISHOP of Havana, who fell under the displeasure of the Captain General for making an address to the clergy in the cathedral, has departed for Cadiz.

THE SPRINGFIELD Republican hints at bagging and hosing among the male and female members of a lodge of Good Templars. He wouldn't have the male members kiss among themselves, would he?

COPPER ORE is found in immense quantities in the northwestern counties of Texas. The ore has been thoroughly tested, two pounds of ore yielding one and one quarter pounds of copper and silver enough to pay for working.

THE RATCH for a prize fight between O'Baldwin, the Irish giant, and Elliott, of Cleveland, has been stopped, the parties having drawn the stakes. O'Baldwin was to have whipped Elliott in half an hour.

AN INDIGNANT citizen of Frankfort-on-the-Main has commenced proceedings against the Prince of Wales for the seduction of his daughter. The Prince raised the young girl last fall, during his presence in Germany.

HON. DAVID R. ATCHISON, formerly President of the United States Senate, is living in great comfort and perfect quiet on a beautiful farm in Clinton county, Missouri—the State which he so long represented in the United States Senate.

THE PADUCAH Herald mentions a rumor that the Mississippi Central Railroad has been purchased or leased by a company of New York capitalists, with the condition that the Paducah and New Orleans Air Line Road is to be built within the next two years.

SO FAR as the Democratic County Conventions have been held in Illinois, considerably less than one-half have instructed their preference for Pendleton for President. The greenback scheme is more popular than himself

DU COMPAN DIRECTORY

A[illegible]

B[illegible]

C[illegible]

D[illegible]

G[illegible]

H[illegible]

J[illegible]

L[illegible]

M[illegible]

P[illegible]

S[illegible]

W[illegible]

DAILY COURIER.

BY W. N. HALDEMAN.

OFFICE: 108 WEST JEFFERSON ST.,
Between Third and Fourth.

WEDNESDAY MORNING, - - APRIL 1, 1868.

The Destiny of the Republican Party.

Under the above caption the New York Tribune has an article, in which, after stating what the Republican party has already accomplished, it argues that the mission of that party will not be complete until it has established the political equality of the races. The general tenor and tone of the Tribune article will be understood from the following extract which we make from it.

When, forty years ago, Garrison attempted the apparently hopeless task of spurning the Constitution in best covenant with death and league with death, men might have known that, whether he lived or died, no power on earth could stop the progress of the anti-slavery idea. Slavery was supreme in the land; it mastered the nation; it directed the navies; it had the Government under its heel. President after President would take the White House with the slaveholder's scourge around his neck. Nothing resisted it but a few powerless and half-treasonable men in the North; but that idea was the soul of the resistance—the idea of the equality of men. A few years passed, and behold! it marshaled the Northern voters, it conquered Statecraft, it transformed itself into armies, its war-hymn heard the cannon of the North proclaim it a hundred battles, and victory to every soldier of the Abolitionist was Lee surrendered his sword to Grant.

The New York Tribune is perhaps the most honest of the existing National or certain Republican organs. It can at least lay claim to consistency in its anti-slavery sentiments and in its fanaticism. Like Garrison it early adopted as a postulate as the chief article of its political faith, and, like him, it has appeared a great many years ago to ascribe to that article of faith the Constitution and the old government. Long before the present Republican party had attained the status of a party the Tribune was engaged in proclaiming "the higher law" which has been the rule of action with Congress, and in advocating "the new nation" of which we now hear so much from such men as Charles Sumner in lieu of the old republic established by the fathers.

We think we may say with confidence that there has been no time that can be entered upon his career of journalism, that "the white-coated philosopher" of the New York Tribune would not willingly have sacrificed a slavery constitution to his anti-slavery sentiment, or which he did not place the cause of abolitionism above the maintenance of the integrity of the Union. White, therefore, the Tribune's course meets with no unqualified condemnation, regarding as we do the object it has so long had in view as of the very essence of treason to the white men of America and to the government of the fathers, we cannot but see that we have for it finalitely more respect than for the recent converts that are now zealously engaged in the advocacy of the same cause. Coming, as it were, into the world with its eyes blindfolded by sectional and anti-slavery fanaticism, the Tribune has continued to grope its way in darkness. But there are no words too strong to express the contempt in which those men should be held who, from interest or other unworthy motives, are now zealously fighting in behalf of the principles of the original Abolitionists—principles condemned by their better judgment, and which, until of late, they held in so much horror as unjust, unpatriotic and absolutely subversive of our republican system. One can almost excuse the more strong heroism of Lloyd Garrison, of Wendell Phillips and of Horace Greeley on the score that their judgment and reason were so completely under the influence of fanaticism as ever were those of Eastern debauchee under the influence of hasheesh; but, knowing, as we do, that there is not the least possibility of the existence of the faintest admixture of sincerity or honesty in the motives inducing politicians like Butler, Logan, and other Radical leaders to assume the position they now occupy, we cannot but regard them as more utterly wanting in political morality than Macaulay, and as more deliberate traitors to their country than Benedict Arnold.

"The idea of the equality of men," upon which was founded the old Abolition faction, and which is the basis of the present Republican party, was, as the Tribune intimates, the cause of the late war, and it is the cause of all our present difficulties. It is no pleasant thought to the friend of constitutional liberty that the government has fallen into the hands of those whose party action has been based upon that idea, and upon the assumption that the Constitution of the United States is "a covenant with hell and a league with death" for the reason that it recognized slavery and did not place the degraded and inferior negro on a position of equality with white men. And yet we cannot conceal from ourselves that such is the present unhappy and perilous condition of our country. Nor can we hail surprise that a party thus organized should, when possessed of power, at once go to work to destroy the fundamental and original governmental, and inaugurate a new order of things.

It is not without cause that the Tribune looks to the progress made by the once "miserable and hell-ridden dog" that would erase all distinctions of race or color. Not many have been the years which have passed away since the crazy utterances of the Tappans and Garrisons seemed to find as little sympathy in the North as at the South, but after a time demagogues who could find gratification for their ambition in no legitimate way saw their opportunity of getting into power and place by arousing the sectional feelings of the Northern people. The "slave power" was denounced as arrogant and overbearing, and a systematic attack was begun upon the constitutional rights of the Southern people. What followed our readers know. Goaded at length beyond endurance by the persecutions of the new powerful anti-slavery party, the Southern States resorted as a remedy to secession. Then was announced the war, waged at first nominally for the Union because the pro was necessary to fill up the armies with those who would never have drawn sword or shouldered musket in behalf of the "idea of the equality" of negroes with white men. As the Tribune says "slavery fell dead" with Lee's surrender. That part of the programme of the Tribune's party being accomplished, it remains for them to establish negro equality. It is for the people to decide whether such is the further destiny of the Republican party.

☞Our friends should see to it that said committees are on hand at the different polls next Saturday, and that they stay there during the day. This is a very important matter and should be strictly attended to.

Vote the Straight Ticket.

The duty of every Democrat in Louisville, in the election on Saturday next, is perfectly to be understood. No one should misunderstand that it is the regular Democratic ticket, both in the county and in the city, that will be voted for. The matter of vastly more importance than the gratification of a personal preference for a vote for an independent candidate. The regular ticket represents the strength of the great majority of the Democrats of the city, and what ever may be thought of the system of nominations made known to our readers, it is decided that the Democrats of this city had an unquestionable right to make their own choice in any way they thought proper, and can it be denied that it is a sound policy when it is ascertained what the wishes of the majority are to yield to them and permit them to be carried. No argument based upon the theory that the will of the majority should control the action of the party will be entertained. It is an absurdity upon its very face. Then why should those who have not been fortunate enough to secure a nomination complain or resent the fortunate expressed will of the party? It is not pretended that any Democrat was debarred the privilege of participating in the primary meetings to appoint delegates, or that he was prevented from addressing the citizens or his favorites in those meetings, nor is it charged that the proceedings of the convention were not entirely fair. Where, then, is the ground for reasonable objection? It is conceded that one Democrat knows as much right to express his preference for his favorite as another, it is admitted that it is right for the true proper to exercise that right inside or outside of a ward or precinct meeting, and it is not pretended that the principle that the majority should control in action of the party is incorrect. The course of most contentions is a blind leader by which unprincipled and weak men attempt to justify their rebellion against the party and arouse prejudices voters from their duty, and it should be eternally condemned. Every true Democrat will vote the regular ticket, and if it is defeated he will have the satisfaction of knowing that the responsibility of the defeat does not rest with him.

A Close Proposition.

We are surprised to learn that some persons have gained into position the right to vote in the city next Saturday of persons residing without the district incorporated into the city limits at the late session of the Legislature.

It cannot be supposed that the act of extension disfranchised the voters referred to, and as that act by making them citizens of Louisville has taken from them the privilege of voting at the county precincts, it is clear that they are entitled to the exercise of their right of suffrage in the city.

It is mere sophistry to argue that the law requiring residence for a given period within the city cuts off the inhabitants of the extension from voting. They reside just where they did before the act was passed, and so far as their rights are concerned they are in the same position they would have been in had their place of residence been originally included within the boundaries of the city. The rule applying to persons who subsequently change their residence from the county to the city does not at all apply to them. No construction could be more ludicrous than to say that the inhabitants of the extension could not vote because they had not been citizens of the city for a year, or for a month, or for a week, or for a day.

The Irresponsible Jewett.

The Hon. Thomas Ewing has received from the irresponsible letter-writer his popular friend, Wm. Cornell Jewett.

Said Cornell Jewett, Esq., Washington D. C.

SIR: I have seen in the papers that you have addressed a letter to Thomas Ewing, Esq., in which you have undertaken to answer for me, and to state my views in relation to the Presidency. I have not addressed you, nor have I authorized you to speak for me, and I am at a loss to know what motive could have induced you to take such a step. I am not aware that I have ever had the honor of your acquaintance, and I am sure that I have never had the pleasure of your friendship. Under these circumstances, I am at a loss to know what motive could have induced you to take such a step. I am not aware that I have ever had the honor of your acquaintance, and I am sure that I have never had the pleasure of your friendship. Under these circumstances, I am at a loss to know what motive could have induced you to take such a step.

WM. CORNELL JEWETT.

The following is the memorial

To the Honorable the Senate and House of Representatives.

The memorial of the undersigned, citizens of the State of [illegible], respectfully represents that your memorialists are deeply impressed with the importance of the subject of [illegible] and that they are of opinion that the [illegible] should be [illegible] and that they are of opinion that the [illegible] should be [illegible] and that they are of opinion that the [illegible] should be [illegible].

Your memorialists therefore pray that your honorable body will take such steps as may be necessary to [illegible] and that they are of opinion that the [illegible] should be [illegible] and that they are of opinion that the [illegible] should be [illegible].

And your memorialists will ever pray.

WM. CORNELL JEWETT.

Wreck of a Bright Intellect.

A correspondent of the St Joseph (Mo.) Herald gives the following account of the present condition of a man who was once one of the brightest ornaments of the St. Joseph bar:

While traveling in New Mexico last fall, I chanced to be at Las Vegas during the sitting of the United States District Court for those counties. There I saw a man in whose countenance I could read by he seen the marks of a noble nature, and in whose conversation, when sufficiently sober to talk rationally, were the unmistakable evidences of the polished gentleman. But alas, the sad matter of vastly more importance than the gratification of a personal preference for a vote for an independent candidate. The regular ticket represents the strength of the great majority of the Democrats of the city, and what ever may be thought of the system of nominations made known to our readers, it is decided that the Democrats of this city had an unquestionable right to make their own choice in any way they thought proper, and can it be denied that it is a sound policy when it is ascertained what the wishes of the majority are to yield to them and permit them to be carried. No argument based upon the theory that the will of the majority should control the action of the party will be entertained. It is an absurdity upon its very face. Then why should those who have not been fortunate enough to secure a nomination complain or resent the fortunate expressed will of the party? It is not pretended that any Democrat was debarred the privilege of participating in the primary meetings to appoint delegates, or that he was prevented from addressing the citizens or his favorites in those meetings, nor is it charged that the proceedings of the convention were not entirely fair. Where, then, is the ground for reasonable objection? It is conceded that one Democrat knows as much right to express his preference for his favorite as another, it is admitted that it is right for the true proper to exercise that right inside or outside of a ward or precinct meeting, and it is not pretended that the principle that the majority should control in action of the party is incorrect. The course of most contentions is a blind leader by which unprincipled and weak men attempt to justify their rebellion against the party and arouse prejudices voters from their duty, and it should be eternally condemned. Every true Democrat will vote the regular ticket, and if it is defeated he will have the satisfaction of knowing that the responsibility of the defeat does not rest with him.

A Close Proposition.

We are surprised to learn that some persons have gained into position the right to vote in the city next Saturday of persons residing without the district incorporated into the city limits at the late session of the Legislature.

It cannot be supposed that the act of extension disfranchised the voters referred to, and as that act by making them citizens of Louisville has taken from them the privilege of voting at the county precincts, it is clear that they are entitled to the exercise of their right of suffrage in the city.

It is mere sophistry to argue that the law requiring residence for a given period within the city cuts off the inhabitants of the extension from voting. They reside just where they did before the act was passed, and so far as their rights are concerned they are in the same position they would have been in had their place of residence been originally included within the boundaries of the city. The rule applying to persons who subsequently change their residence from the county to the city does not at all apply to them. No construction could be more ludicrous than to say that the inhabitants of the extension could not vote because they had not been citizens of the city for a year, or for a month, or for a week, or for a day.

The Irresponsible Jewett.

The Hon. Thomas Ewing has received from the irresponsible letter-writer his popular friend, Wm. Cornell Jewett.

Said Cornell Jewett, Esq., Washington D. C.

SIR: I have seen in the papers that you have addressed a letter to Thomas Ewing, Esq., in which you have undertaken to answer for me, and to state my views in relation to the Presidency. I have not addressed you, nor have I authorized you to speak for me, and I am at a loss to know what motive could have induced you to take such a step. I am not aware that I have ever had the honor of your acquaintance, and I am sure that I have never had the pleasure of your friendship. Under these circumstances, I am at a loss to know what motive could have induced you to take such a step. I am not aware that I have ever had the honor of your acquaintance, and I am sure that I have never had the pleasure of your friendship. Under these circumstances, I am at a loss to know what motive could have induced you to take such a step.

WM. CORNELL JEWETT.

The following is the memorial

To the Honorable the Senate and House of Representatives.

The memorial of the undersigned, citizens of the State of [illegible], respectfully represents that your memorialists are deeply impressed with the importance of the subject of [illegible] and that they are of opinion that the [illegible] should be [illegible] and that they are of opinion that the [illegible] should be [illegible] and that they are of opinion that the [illegible] should be [illegible].

Your memorialists therefore pray that your honorable body will take such steps as may be necessary to [illegible] and that they are of opinion that the [illegible] should be [illegible] and that they are of opinion that the [illegible] should be [illegible].

And your memorialists will ever pray.

WM. CORNELL JEWETT.

Wreck of a Bright Intellect.

A correspondent of the St Joseph (Mo.) Herald gives the following account of the present condition of a man who was once one of the brightest ornaments of the St. Joseph bar:

While traveling in New Mexico last fall, I chanced to be at Las Vegas during the sitting of the United States District Court for those counties. There I saw a man in whose countenance I could read by he seen the marks of a noble nature, and in whose conversation, when sufficiently sober to talk rationally, were the unmistakable evidences of the polished gentleman. But alas, the sad matter of vastly more importance than the gratification of a personal preference for a vote for an independent candidate. The regular ticket represents the strength of the great majority of the Democrats of the city, and what ever may be thought of the system of nominations made known to our readers, it is decided that the Democrats of this city had an unquestionable right to make their own choice in any way they thought proper, and can it be denied that it is a sound policy when it is ascertained what the wishes of the majority are to yield to them and permit them to be carried. No argument based upon the theory that the will of the majority should control the action of the party will be entertained. It is an absurdity upon its very face. Then why should those who have not been fortunate enough to secure a nomination complain or resent the fortunate expressed will of the party? It is not pretended that any Democrat was debarred the privilege of participating in the primary meetings to appoint delegates, or that he was prevented from addressing the citizens or his favorites in those meetings, nor is it charged that the proceedings of the convention were not entirely fair. Where, then, is the ground for reasonable objection? It is conceded that one Democrat knows as much right to express his preference for his favorite as another, it is admitted that it is right for the true proper to exercise that right inside or outside of a ward or precinct meeting, and it is not pretended that the principle that the majority should control in action of the party is incorrect. The course of most contentions is a blind leader by which unprincipled and weak men attempt to justify their rebellion against the party and arouse prejudices voters from their duty, and it should be eternally condemned. Every true Democrat will vote the regular ticket, and if it is defeated he will have the satisfaction of knowing that the responsibility of the defeat does not rest with him.

Gen. Brisbin on Gov. Curtin.

We publish this morning a letter addressed by General Brisbin to the Chairman of the Soldiers National Executive Committee, in which he denounces Governor Curtin, a prominent candidate for the National Vice Presidential nomination, in unmeasured terms. The exposure made by the fellow Radicals of the Pennsylvania ex-Governor's traitor and manoeuvering to secure the nomination for Vice President at Chicago is quite entertaining. General Brisbin, it seems, was at one time a large investor in Curtin, and he puts a fine estimate upon his character that he declares if Curtin was President and Curtin Vice President he would not swallow the two of the same ticket for a single day.

The Irresponsible Jewett.

The Hon. Thomas Ewing has received from the irresponsible letter-writer his popular friend, Wm. Cornell Jewett.

Said Cornell Jewett, Esq., Washington D. C.

SIR: I have seen in the papers that you have addressed a letter to Thomas Ewing, Esq., in which you have undertaken to answer for me, and to state my views in relation to the Presidency. I have not addressed you, nor have I authorized you to speak for me, and I am at a loss to know what motive could have induced you to take such a step. I am not aware that I have ever had the honor of your acquaintance, and I am sure that I have never had the pleasure of your friendship. Under these circumstances, I am at a loss to know what motive could have induced you to take such a step. I am not aware that I have ever had the honor of your acquaintance, and I am sure that I have never had the pleasure of your friendship. Under these circumstances, I am at a loss to know what motive could have induced you to take such a step.

WM. CORNELL JEWETT.

The following is the memorial

To the Honorable the Senate and House of Representatives.

The memorial of the undersigned, citizens of the State of [illegible], respectfully represents that your memorialists are deeply impressed with the importance of the subject of [illegible] and that they are of opinion that the [illegible] should be [illegible] and that they are of opinion that the [illegible] should be [illegible] and that they are of opinion that the [illegible] should be [illegible].

Your memorialists therefore pray that your honorable body will take such steps as may be necessary to [illegible] and that they are of opinion that the [illegible] should be [illegible] and that they are of opinion that the [illegible] should be [illegible].

And your memorialists will ever pray.

WM. CORNELL JEWETT.

Wreck of a Bright Intellect.

A correspondent of the St Joseph (Mo.) Herald gives the following account of the present condition of a man who was once one of the brightest ornaments of the St. Joseph bar:

While traveling in New Mexico last fall, I chanced to be at Las Vegas during the sitting of the United States District Court for those counties. There I saw a man in whose countenance I could read by he seen the marks of a noble nature, and in whose conversation, when sufficiently sober to talk rationally, were the unmistakable evidences of the polished gentleman. But alas, the sad matter of vastly more importance than the gratification of a personal preference for a vote for an independent candidate. The regular ticket represents the strength of the great majority of the Democrats of the city, and what ever may be thought of the system of nominations made known to our readers, it is decided that the Democrats of this city had an unquestionable right to make their own choice in any way they thought proper, and can it be denied that it is a sound policy when it is ascertained what the wishes of the majority are to yield to them and permit them to be carried. No argument based upon the theory that the will of the majority should control the action of the party will be entertained. It is an absurdity upon its very face. Then why should those who have not been fortunate enough to secure a nomination complain or resent the fortunate expressed will of the party? It is not pretended that any Democrat was debarred the privilege of participating in the primary meetings to appoint delegates, or that he was prevented from addressing the citizens or his favorites in those meetings, nor is it charged that the proceedings of the convention were not entirely fair. Where, then, is the ground for reasonable objection? It is conceded that one Democrat knows as much right to express his preference for his favorite as another, it is admitted that it is right for the true proper to exercise that right inside or outside of a ward or precinct meeting, and it is not pretended that the principle that the majority should control in action of the party is incorrect. The course of most contentions is a blind leader by which unprincipled and weak men attempt to justify their rebellion against the party and arouse prejudices voters from their duty, and it should be eternally condemned. Every true Democrat will vote the regular ticket, and if it is defeated he will have the satisfaction of knowing that the responsibility of the defeat does not rest with him.

A Close Proposition.

We are surprised to learn that some persons have gained into position the right to vote in the city next Saturday of persons residing without the district incorporated into the city limits at the late session of the Legislature.

It cannot be supposed that the act of extension disfranchised the voters referred to, and as that act by making them citizens of Louisville has taken from them the privilege of voting at the county precincts, it is clear that they are entitled to the exercise of their right of suffrage in the city.

It is mere sophistry to argue that the law requiring residence for a given period within the city cuts off the inhabitants of the extension from voting. They reside just where they did before the act was passed, and so far as their rights are concerned they are in the same position they would have been in had their place of residence been originally included within the boundaries of the city. The rule applying to persons who subsequently change their residence from the county to the city does not at all apply to them. No construction could be more ludicrous than to say that the inhabitants of the extension could not vote because they had not been citizens of the city for a year, or for a month, or for a week, or for a day.

The Irresponsible Jewett.

The Hon. Thomas Ewing has received from the irresponsible letter-writer his popular friend, Wm. Cornell Jewett.

Said Cornell Jewett, Esq., Washington D. C.

SIR: I have seen in the papers that you have addressed a letter to Thomas Ewing, Esq., in which you have undertaken to answer for me, and to state my views in relation to the Presidency. I have not addressed you, nor have I authorized you to speak for me, and I am at a loss to know what motive could have induced you to take such a step. I am not aware that I have ever had the honor of your acquaintance, and I am sure that I have never had the pleasure of your friendship. Under these circumstances, I am at a loss to know what motive could have induced you to take such a step. I am not aware that I have ever had the honor of your acquaintance, and I am sure that I have never had the pleasure of your friendship. Under these circumstances, I am at a loss to know what motive could have induced you to take such a step.

WM. CORNELL JEWETT.

The following is the memorial

To the Honorable the Senate and House of Representatives.

The memorial of the undersigned, citizens of the State of [illegible], respectfully represents that your memorialists are deeply impressed with the importance of the subject of [illegible] and that they are of opinion that the [illegible] should be [illegible] and that they are of opinion that the [illegible] should be [illegible] and that they are of opinion that the [illegible] should be [illegible].

Your memorialists therefore pray that your honorable body will take such steps as may be necessary to [illegible] and that they are of opinion that the [illegible] should be [illegible] and that they are of opinion that the [illegible] should be [illegible].

And your memorialists will ever pray.

WM. CORNELL JEWETT.

Wreck of a Bright Intellect.

A correspondent of the St Joseph (Mo.) Herald gives the following account of the present condition of a man who was once one of the brightest ornaments of the St. Joseph bar:

While traveling in New Mexico last fall, I chanced to be at Las Vegas during the sitting of the United States District Court for those counties. There I saw a man in whose countenance I could read by he seen the marks of a noble nature, and in whose conversation, when sufficiently sober to talk rationally, were the unmistakable evidences of the polished gentleman. But alas, the sad matter of vastly more importance than the gratification of a personal preference for a vote for an independent candidate. The regular ticket represents the strength of the great majority of the Democrats of the city, and what ever may be thought of the system of nominations made known to our readers, it is decided that the Democrats of this city had an unquestionable right to make their own choice in any way they thought proper, and can it be denied that it is a sound policy when it is ascertained what the wishes of the majority are to yield to them and permit them to be carried. No argument based upon the theory that the will of the majority should control the action of the party will be entertained. It is an absurdity upon its very face. Then why should those who have not been fortunate enough to secure a nomination complain or resent the fortunate expressed will of the party? It is not pretended that any Democrat was debarred the privilege of participating in the primary meetings to appoint delegates, or that he was prevented from addressing the citizens or his favorites in those meetings, nor is it charged that the proceedings of the convention were not entirely fair. Where, then, is the ground for reasonable objection? It is conceded that one Democrat knows as much right to express his preference for his favorite as another, it is admitted that it is right for the true proper to exercise that right inside or outside of a ward or precinct meeting, and it is not pretended that the principle that the majority should control in action of the party is incorrect. The course of most contentions is a blind leader by which unprincipled and weak men attempt to justify their rebellion against the party and arouse prejudices voters from their duty, and it should be eternally condemned. Every true Democrat will vote the regular ticket, and if it is defeated he will have the satisfaction of knowing that the responsibility of the defeat does not rest with him.

A Close Proposition.

We are surprised to learn that some persons have gained into position the right to vote in the city next Saturday of persons residing without the district incorporated into the city limits at the late session of the Legislature.

It cannot be supposed that the act of extension disfranchised the voters referred to, and as that act by making them citizens of Louisville has taken from them the privilege of voting at the county precincts, it is clear that they are entitled to the exercise of their right of suffrage in the city.

It is mere sophistry to argue that the law requiring residence for a given period within the city cuts off the inhabitants of the extension from voting. They reside just where they did before the act was passed, and so far as their rights are concerned they are in the same position they would have been in had their place of residence been originally included within the boundaries of the city. The rule applying to persons who subsequently change their residence from the county to the city does not at all apply to them. No construction could be more ludicrous than to say that the inhabitants of the extension could not vote because they had not been citizens of the city for a year, or for a month, or for a week, or for a day.

The Irresponsible Jewett.

The Hon. Thomas Ewing has received from the irresponsible letter-writer his popular friend, Wm. Cornell Jewett.

Said Cornell Jewett, Esq., Washington D. C.

SIR: I have seen in the papers that you have addressed a letter to Thomas Ewing, Esq., in which you have undertaken to answer for me, and to state my views in relation to the Presidency. I have not addressed you, nor have I authorized you to speak for me, and I am at a loss to know what motive could have induced you to take such a step. I am not aware that I have ever had the honor of your acquaintance, and I am sure that I have never had the pleasure of your friendship. Under these circumstances, I am at a loss to know what motive could have induced you to take such a step. I am not aware that I have ever had the honor of your acquaintance, and I am sure that I have never had the pleasure of your friendship. Under these circumstances, I am at a loss to know what motive could have induced you to take such a step.

WM. CORNELL JEWETT.

The following is the memorial

To the Honorable the Senate and House of Representatives.

The memorial of the undersigned, citizens of the State of [illegible], respectfully represents that your memorialists are deeply impressed with the importance of the subject of [illegible] and that they are of opinion that the [illegible] should be [illegible] and that they are of opinion that the [illegible] should be [illegible] and that they are of opinion that the [illegible] should be [illegible].

Your memorialists therefore pray that your honorable body will take such steps as may be necessary to [illegible] and that they are of opinion that the [illegible] should be [illegible] and that they are of opinion that the [illegible] should be [illegible].

And your memorialists will ever pray.

WM. CORNELL JEWETT.

Wreck of a Bright Intellect.

A correspondent of the St Joseph (Mo.) Herald gives the following account of the present condition of a man who was once one of the brightest ornaments of the St. Joseph bar:

While traveling in New Mexico last fall, I chanced to be at Las Vegas during the sitting of the United States District Court for those counties. There I saw a man in whose countenance I could read by he seen the marks of a noble nature, and in whose conversation, when sufficiently sober to talk rationally, were the unmistakable evidences of the polished gentleman. But alas, the sad matter of vastly more importance than the gratification of a personal preference for a vote for an independent candidate. The regular ticket represents the strength of the great majority of the Democrats of the city, and what ever may be thought of the system of nominations made known to our readers, it is decided that the Democrats of this city had an unquestionable right to make their own choice in any way they thought proper, and can it be denied that it is a sound policy when it is ascertained what the wishes of the majority are to yield to them and permit them to be carried. No argument based upon the theory that the will of the majority should control the action of the party will be entertained. It is an absurdity upon its very face. Then why should those who have not been fortunate enough to secure a nomination complain or resent the fortunate expressed will of the party? It is not pretended that any Democrat was debarred the privilege of participating in the primary meetings to appoint delegates, or that he was prevented from addressing the citizens or his favorites in those meetings, nor is it charged that the proceedings of the convention were not entirely fair. Where, then, is the ground for reasonable objection? It is conceded that one Democrat knows as much right to express his preference for his favorite as another, it is admitted that it is right for the true proper to exercise that right inside or outside of a ward or precinct meeting, and it is not pretended that the principle that the majority should control in action of the party is incorrect. The course of most contentions is a blind leader by which unprincipled and weak men attempt to justify their rebellion against the party and arouse prejudices voters from their duty, and it should be eternally condemned. Every true Democrat will vote the regular ticket, and if it is defeated he will have the satisfaction of knowing that the responsibility of the defeat does not rest with him.

Lady Drunkards in New York.

From the New York Sunday Dispatch:

A few days ago a carriage with a fine-looking woman in the gentlemen's seat drove up to the Jefferson Market Police Court and stopped in front of the door. The woman, who was elegantly dressed, alighted and entered the court-room, followed by a gentleman who appeared to be her husband. She was evidently under the influence of liquor, and created a disturbance by addressing the Court in language not at all befitting a Congress representative. She was finally induced to leave the room, and the gentleman followed her. Subsequently she returned and addressed the Court in a memorial protest to Congress.

WM CORNELL JEWETT,

The following is the memorial

To the Honorable the Senate and House of Representatives.

The memorial of the undersigned, citizens of the State of [illegible], respectfully represents that your memorialists are deeply impressed with the importance of the subject of [illegible] and that they are of opinion that the [illegible] should be [illegible] and that they are of opinion that the [illegible] should be [illegible] and that they are of opinion that the [illegible] should be [illegible].

Your memorialists therefore pray that your honorable body will take such steps as may be necessary to [illegible] and that they are of opinion that the [illegible] should be [illegible] and that they are of opinion that the [illegible] should be [illegible].

And your memorialists will ever pray.

WM. CORNELL JEWETT.

Wreck of a Bright Intellect.

A correspondent of the St Joseph (Mo.) Herald gives the following account of the present condition of a man who was once one of the brightest ornaments of the St. Joseph bar:

While traveling in New Mexico last fall, I chanced to be at Las Vegas during the sitting of the United States District Court for those counties. There I saw a man in whose countenance I could read by he seen the marks of a noble nature, and in whose conversation, when sufficiently sober to talk rationally, were the unmistakable evidences of the polished gentleman. But alas, the sad matter of vastly more importance than the gratification of a personal preference for a vote for an independent candidate. The regular ticket represents the strength of the great majority of the Democrats of the city, and what ever may be thought of the system of nominations made known to our readers, it is decided that the Democrats of this city had an unquestionable right to make their own choice in any way they thought proper, and can it be denied that it is a sound policy when it is ascertained what the wishes of the majority are to yield to them and permit them to be carried. No argument based upon the theory that the will of the majority should control the action of the party will be entertained. It is an absurdity upon its very face. Then why should those who have not been fortunate enough to secure a nomination complain or resent the fortunate expressed will of the party? It is not pretended that any Democrat was debarred the privilege of participating in the primary meetings to appoint delegates, or that he was prevented from addressing the citizens or his favorites in those meetings, nor is it charged that the proceedings of the convention were not entirely fair. Where, then, is the ground for reasonable objection? It is conceded that one Democrat knows as much right to express his preference for his favorite as another, it is admitted that it is right for the true proper to exercise that right inside or outside of a ward or precinct meeting, and it is not pretended that the principle that the majority should control in action of the party is incorrect. The course of most contentions is a blind leader by which unprincipled and weak men attempt to justify their rebellion against the party and arouse prejudices voters from their duty, and it should be eternally condemned. Every true Democrat will vote the regular ticket, and if it is defeated he will have the satisfaction of knowing that the responsibility of the defeat does not rest with him.

A Close Proposition.

We are surprised to learn that some persons have gained into position the right to vote in the city next Saturday of persons residing without the district incorporated into the city limits at the late session of the Legislature.

It cannot be supposed that the act of extension disfranchised the voters referred to, and as that act by making them citizens of Louisville has taken from them the privilege of voting at the county precincts, it is clear that they are entitled to the exercise of their right of suffrage in the city.

It is mere sophistry to argue that the law requiring residence for a given period within the city cuts off the inhabitants of the extension from voting. They reside just where they did before the act was passed, and so far as their rights are concerned they are in the same position they would have been in had their place of residence been originally included within the boundaries of the city. The rule applying to persons who subsequently change their residence from the county to the city does not at all apply to them. No construction could be more ludicrous than to say that the inhabitants of the extension could not vote because they had not been citizens of the city for a year, or for a month, or for a week, or for a day.

The Irresponsible Jewett.

The Hon. Thomas Ewing has received from the irresponsible letter-writer his popular friend, Wm. Cornell Jewett.

Said Cornell Jewett, Esq., Washington D. C.

SIR: I have seen in the papers that you have addressed a letter to Thomas Ewing, Esq., in which you have undertaken to answer for me, and to state my views in relation to the Presidency. I have not addressed you, nor have I authorized you to speak for me, and I am at a loss to know what motive could have induced you to take such a step. I am not aware that I have ever had the honor of your acquaintance, and I am sure that I have never had the pleasure of your friendship. Under these circumstances, I am at a loss to know what motive could have induced you to take such a step. I am not aware that I have ever had the honor of your acquaintance, and I am sure that I have never had the pleasure of your friendship. Under these circumstances, I am at a loss to know what motive could have induced you to take such a step.

WM. CORNELL JEWETT.

The following is the memorial

To the Honorable the Senate and House of Representatives.

The memorial of the undersigned, citizens of the State of [illegible], respectfully represents that your memorialists are deeply impressed with the importance of the subject of [illegible] and that they are of opinion that the [illegible] should be [illegible] and that they are of opinion that the [illegible] should be [illegible] and that they are of opinion that the [illegible] should be [illegible].

Your memorialists therefore pray that your honorable body will take such steps as may be necessary to [illegible] and that they are of opinion that the [illegible] should be [illegible] and that they are of opinion that the [illegible] should be [illegible].

And your memorialists will ever pray.

WM. CORNELL JEWETT.

Wreck of a Bright Intellect.

A correspondent of the St Joseph (Mo.) Herald gives the following account of the present condition of a man who was once one of the brightest ornaments of the St. Joseph bar:

While traveling in New Mexico last fall, I chanced to be at Las Vegas during the sitting of the United States District Court for those counties. There I saw a man in whose countenance I could read by he seen the marks of a noble nature, and in whose conversation, when sufficiently sober to talk rationally, were the unmistakable evidences of the polished gentleman. But alas, the sad matter of vastly more importance than the gratification of a personal preference for a vote for an independent candidate. The regular ticket represents the strength of the great majority of the Democrats of the city, and what ever may be thought of the system of nominations made known to our readers, it is decided that the Democrats of this city had an unquestionable right to make their own choice in any way they thought proper, and can it be denied that it is a sound policy when it is ascertained what the wishes of the majority are to yield to them and permit them to be carried. No argument based upon the theory that the will of the majority should control the action of the party will be entertained. It is an absurdity upon its very face. Then why should those who have not been fortunate enough to secure a nomination complain or resent the fortunate expressed will of the party? It is not pretended that any Democrat was debarred the privilege of participating in the primary meetings to appoint delegates, or that he was prevented from addressing the citizens or his favorites in those meetings, nor is it charged that the proceedings of the convention were not entirely fair. Where, then, is the ground for reasonable objection? It is conceded that one Democrat knows as much right to express his preference for his favorite as another, it is admitted that it is right for the true proper to exercise that right inside or outside of a ward or precinct meeting, and it is not pretended that the principle that the majority should control in action of the party is incorrect. The course of most contentions is a blind leader by which unprincipled and weak men attempt to justify their rebellion against the party and arouse prejudices voters from their duty, and it should be eternally condemned. Every true Democrat will vote the regular ticket, and if it is defeated he will have the satisfaction of knowing that the responsibility of the defeat does not rest with him.

A Close Proposition.

We are surprised to learn that some persons have gained into position the right to vote in the city next Saturday of persons residing without the district incorporated into the city limits at the late session of the Legislature.

It cannot be supposed that the act of extension disfranchised the voters referred to, and as that act by making them citizens of Louisville has taken from them the privilege of voting at the county precincts, it is clear that they are entitled to the exercise of their right of suffrage in the city.

It is mere sophistry to argue that the law requiring residence for a given period within the city cuts off the inhabitants of the extension from voting. They reside just where they did before the act was passed, and so far as their rights are concerned they are in the same position they would have been in had their place of residence been originally included within the boundaries of the city. The rule applying to persons who subsequently change their residence from the county to the city does not at all apply to them. No construction could be more ludicrous than to say that the inhabitants of the extension could not vote because they had not been citizens of the city for a year, or for a month, or for a week, or for a day.

The Irresponsible Jewett.

The Hon. Thomas Ewing has received from the irresponsible letter-writer his popular friend, Wm. Cornell Jewett.

Said Cornell Jewett, Esq., Washington D. C.

SIR: I have seen in the papers that you have addressed a letter to Thomas Ewing, Esq., in which you have undertaken to answer for me, and to state my views in relation to the Presidency. I have not addressed you, nor have I authorized you to speak for me, and I am at a loss to know what motive could have induced you to take such a step. I am not aware that I have ever had the honor of your acquaintance, and I am sure that I have never had the pleasure of your friendship. Under these circumstances, I am at a loss to know what motive could have induced you to take such a step. I am not aware that I have ever had the honor of your acquaintance, and I am sure that I have never had the pleasure of your friendship. Under these circumstances, I am at a loss to know what motive could have induced you to take such a step.

WM. CORNELL JEWETT.

The following is the memorial

To the Honorable the Senate and House of Representatives.

The memorial of the undersigned, citizens of the State of [illegible], respectfully represents that your memorialists are deeply impressed with the importance of the subject of [illegible] and that they are of opinion that the [illegible] should be [illegible] and that they are of opinion that the [illegible] should be [illegible] and that they are of opinion that the [illegible] should be [illegible].

Your memorialists therefore pray that your honorable body will take such steps as may be necessary to [illegible] and that they are of opinion that the [illegible] should be [illegible] and that they are of opinion that the [illegible] should be [illegible].

And your memorialists will ever pray.

WM. CORNELL JEWETT.

Wreck of a Bright Intellect.

A correspondent of the St Joseph (Mo.) Herald gives the following account of the present condition of a man who was once one of the brightest ornaments of the St. Joseph bar:

While traveling in New Mexico last fall, I chanced to be at Las Vegas during the sitting of the United States District Court for those counties. There I saw a man in whose countenance I could read by he seen the marks of a noble nature, and in whose conversation, when sufficiently sober to talk rationally, were the unmistakable evidences of the polished gentleman. But alas, the sad matter of vastly more importance than the gratification of a personal preference for a vote for an independent candidate. The regular ticket represents the strength of the great majority of the Democrats of the city, and what ever may be thought of the system of nominations made known to our readers, it is decided that the Democrats of this city had an unquestionable right to make their own choice in any way they thought proper, and can it be denied that it is a sound policy when it is ascertained what the wishes of the majority are to yield to them and permit them to be carried. No argument based upon the theory that the will of the majority should control the action of the party will be entertained. It is an absurdity upon its very face. Then why should those who have not been fortunate enough to secure a nomination complain or resent the fortunate expressed will of the party? It is not pretended that any Democrat was debarred the privilege of participating in the primary meetings to appoint delegates, or that he was prevented from addressing the citizens or his favorites in those meetings, nor is it charged that the proceedings of the convention were not entirely fair. Where, then, is the ground for reasonable objection? It is conceded that one Democrat knows as much right to express his preference for his favorite as another, it is admitted that it is right for the true proper to exercise that right inside or outside of a ward or precinct meeting, and it is not pretended that the principle that the majority should control in action of the party is incorrect. The course of most contentions is a blind leader by which unprincipled and weak men attempt to justify their reb

WANTED.

WANTED—ALL PERSONS WANTING MUSIC, Violins, Guitars, Music Boxes, &c., to call at Leonard's, on Main street, between Fourth and Fifth, where they can find a large assortment of the above goods, and can have them repaired in the best manner. Also, Pianos to rent. Call and see. apl 4t

WANTED—SITUATION—By a young man who has had several years experience in business, wants a situation as clerk, porter or driver of a delivery wagon; can furnish good recommendation from former employers. Address J. A. M., Post office. apl 4t*

WANTED—SITUATION—As a hotel or restaurant cook by a good cook and also a practical waiter, and can come well recommended; has had most years experience. Address or call on J. H. KING, 2 Ohio, No. 314 Water street. apl 4t

WANTED—SITUATION—As lady's waiting maid or seamstress, by a lady who understands cutting and dress-making, and understands hair dressing. Good references given and required. Apply at 1 Ohio, No. 314 West Fourth street. apl 4t

WANTED—TEACHING—The undersigned, a native of Virginia, who has had several years experience in both primary and advanced teaching, either in public or private schools, would like to procure a school or class to teach; or would prefer being employed as a private tutor to a family. If desired, he can also furnish the country, the first of which he was a native, with the best of references. Address, JAMES MACKEY, Louisville, Ky. Post office box 1,249. apl

WANTED—TO RENT—A house containing from eight to ten rooms, with all modern conveniences, in a good neighborhood, and not more than three squares from the Court-house. Address, stating terms, and where for, T. B. MACEY, 180 Third street. apl

WANTED—TO RENT—A house containing from eight to ten rooms, with all modern conveniences, in a good neighborhood, and not more than three squares from the Court-house. Address, stating terms, and where for, T. B. MACEY, 180 Third street. apl

WANTED—A SITUATION—By a young man who has had several years experience in the dry goods business; can furnish good recommendations from last employer. Address, for one week, A. B. C., Courier office. apl 4t

WANTED—A SITUATION—By a young man who has had several years experience in the dry goods business; can furnish good recommendations from last employer. Address, for one week, A. B. C., Courier office. apl 4t

WANTED—

DAILY COURIER.

WEDNESDAY MORNING, - - APRIL 1, 1868.

Courier's Specials.

WASHINGTON.

INTEREST IN IMPEACHMENT SUBSIDING.

Members of the House Prefer Dan Rice's Circus.

BURT VAN HORN PUT THROUGH

Character of the Interview Between Thomas and Stanton.

Right of the Chief Justice to Decide Preliminary Questions.

Summons for Generals Rousseau and Steedman.

McCARDLE CASE POSTPONED TILL DECEMBER.

[Special Telegraphic Correspondence of the Louisville Courier.]

THE IMPEACHMENT TRIAL.

WASHINGTON, D. C. March 31—The Court of Impeachment plunged into their work to-day, but little progress was made in the examination of witnesses.

THE SENATE CHAMBER UNSUITABLE.

It soon became apparent the Senate chamber was not suited for a court-room, as the witnesses could scarcely be heard by a number of Senators.

THE GALLERIES CROWDED.

The galleries contained comparatively few spectators, and less than a quorum of House members were in attendance. Many of those gentlemen preferred Dan Rice's circus to the dull proceedings of the hour.

BURT VAN HORN PUT THROUGH.

Judge Black so sorry put him, Burt Van Horn, through in a very manner during cross-examination.

THE INTERVIEW BETWEEN THOMAS AND STANTON.

The purpose of the defense was to show that the interview between General Thomas and Mr Stanton was of a friendly character, and not the ring of Congressmen had given them up to a scene. Van Horn dodged and twitched—almost prevaricated—and was glad to leave the stand.

From General Moore and others it was apparent that the Congressional crowd were there expecting Thomas' arrival, and prepared in a take notes thereon for future use

THE DUTY OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

Next there arose a question as to the duty of the Chief Justice in Court, in claiming the right to decide preliminary questions of law and evidence. After three hours in secret session, the court allowed the rules so as to acquiesce in the views of Chase, by a vote of 31 to 19. Sumner and Drake tough. It bitterly, asserting that he had no right to do sugat else than to sit as a figure during the trial; but they were completely routed, and no Chase again carried his point, as he has in every case.

SUBPŒNAS ISSUED.

Summons was just issued to-day to Generals Steadman and Rousseau. It was sent to the latter by telegraph.

THE McCARDLE CASE.

The Supreme Court to-day formally announced a postponement of the McCardle case until the December term. The country will share in the regret expressed by Justice Grier at the conclusion, for in his own language they had a right to expect the immediate and solemn action of the court in the case. They have been overawed by threats, and practically are now at the foot of Congress. Judge Grier was clearly right in saying he intended to clear his skirts of all censure for the cowardly course of the majority of the court.

CHANGE OF CONVICTION IMMINENT.

It is said, but upon what reason the statement is based has not transpired, that the case of Johnson's conviction are greatly lessened by the postponement of the McCardle case.

NO NECESSITY FOR CONVICTION.

A decision against the constitutionality of reconstruction would have been followed by the withdrawal of the troops from the Southern States, and the political fabric resting on those laws would have crumbled to pieces. As there can be now no further executive interference with this precious policy, prominent Radicals hold there is no necessity for its conviction, but it has become a party measure and it may be impossible to control the storm which has been raised.

STEVENS' INDEMNITY OF GRANT.

Thad. Steven's letter indorsing Grant for the Presidency was suspected. He to be no means old Thad's choice.

NEW ORLEANS.

Nominations to be Rescinded—The Knklux—Sale of Market Stalls—Terrible Affray—Robbery.

[Special Dispatch to the Louisville Courier.]

NEW ORLEANS, March 31.—The Democratic Central Committee meets to-night to rescind its nominations.

It is rumored that the Kaklux Klan have organized here.

Large numbers of negroes from the interior are reported as arriving.

The Custom-house to-day commenced the sale of market stalls for ten years, as provided in the ordinance, retiring the city currency. The building was uprized.

A terrible stabbing affray occurred on Baronne street, between two men named Carey and Fitzgerald, in which the latter was cut in four places so that his recovery is improbable. The other gave himself up to the police.

The storee on the ground floor of the St Charles Hotel were entered and robbed last night by thieves who had secreted themselves in the rotunda and basement.

Chas. E Marshall, an old Red river captain, was buried to-day.

Departed—Bismarck for St. Louis.

NASHVILLE.

Negro Shot Dead—Critical Condition of Affairs at Gallatin—Wholesale Pardoning of Convicts—Illicit Distilleries.

[Special Dispatch to the Louisville Courier.]

NASHVILLE, March 31.—A couple of negroes got into a difficulty at Columbia, to-day, when one drew a pistol and shot the other dead.

Affairs at Gallatin are still critical. Phillips' negro company are still under arms in the court house, but for what purpose nobody knows except it be to exasperate and intimidate the citizens. If a row is once started the carnage will be terrible, as feeling runs high and both parties are well prepared in the way of arms and ammunition.

The number of convicts released from the penitentiary during the last two months foot up over four hundred. It is well known and admitted that this wholesale turning loose of criminals, a large number of whom were convicted by mere technicalities, is for partisan purposes, and it is expected that numbers of those released will help to swell the army of Brownlow's militia.

Illicit distilleries are said to be swarming in Coffee county.

ST. LOUIS.

Stabbing Affray—True Love Running Smooth—Parochial House Drawing.

By Louis, March 31—William H Parker a respectable of some respectability and standing, was arrested last night for having stabbed a steamboat clerk, in a difficulty about a lady. The rencontre took place in Reddy's tavern. The clerk is mortally hurt. He refused his name to the police.

A romance in high life took place last night at Planters' Hotel. A young man from Boone county, in this State, named James Rollins Parker, married Miss Bettie E. Victor by stealth. The young lady's uncle had her in charge en route for Kentucky in order to prevent the marriage. Parker followed her here from Boone, caught and married her despite the uncle's vigilance. The young lady is no heiress of no mean pretensions.

Three thousand tickets were sold the past week here to the "Parochial House" drawing. As an exciting interest, nearly equaling the Crosby Opera House mania, is being manifested for this grand scheme.

Weather cool, clear and pleasant

COLUMBUS.

The Radical Leader Ashburn Killed by Men in Disguise—The Murder Denounced by Conservatives—Federal Soldiers Supposed to be the Authors of the Deed.

[Special Dispatch to the Louisville Courier.]

COLUMBUS, GA., March 31.—Last night about midnight a body of men in disguise entered a negro boarding-house where Ashburn, the well-known Radical leader boarded, and killed him.

All Conservatives denounce and deplore the event.

Ashburn had a violent quarrel with some Federal soldiers yesterday, and this is believed to have been the cause of the killing.

MEMPHIS.

Desperado Killed — Steamer Seized.

[Special Dispatch to the Louisville Courier.]

Bartlebe, a desperado, was killed at Senatia, Miss., yesterday, by Mr Robinson, who is a peaceful and good citizen. An old grudge caused the difficulty. Bartlebe followed Robinson and abused him, when he shot him in self-defense.

The Darling leaves to-morrow with a good trip

The United States Marshal has taken possession of the steamer Enterprise, at Napoleon, Ark., for running without custom-house papers.

RIVER AND WEATHER.

[Special Dispatch to the Louisville Courier.]

CAIRO, March 31

River fell eight inches.

Weather clear and warm

Business modgum.

Up—Way Belle, Arkansas and unknown tow-boat.

Down—Clara Bimm, Ben Hall, Jacobs, Quickness, M Burns, City, Alice.

The Bulletin says that Fowler, Dawson & Co., tow-boats to U S & Co., the contractors, to order, 20 bbls pork R M Morris dy & Co., 191 bbls corn and a large lot of iron ore and pig iron. Other items unimportant. Number of cars and 50 tons way freight.

NASHVILLE, March 31

River rising with 7 feet on Harpeth Shoals. Weather clear and warm.

Departed—Alice Gilbert, Cincinnati; Lenton, Louisville, Planter for ports.

Arrived—Planter, Gallatin, Lenton, Evansville, Dispatch—Tyrone, Gallatin, Lenton, Evansville, Planter for ports—E. Hughes, Burnsville, A. Baker, Cincinnati.

CINCINNATI, March 31

Weather clear and warm.

The river has fallen two feet during the twenty-four hours and is now 6 feet 6 inches, with forty-eight inches on the falls. Departed—Jennie Deer, Louisville; Tennessee for Cincinnati.

The departures of the day were Gen. Thomas from St. Louis, Mary Forsyth, from Tennessee river.

The departures were the steamers Magnolia, New Orleans, Wasmalla, Omaha and Emperor, to Pittsburg.

MEMPHIS, March 31.

Weather clear and pleasant.

River falling.

Departed—Steamers Gen Lyon, Lexington, Memphis, Ben Hall, St Patrick, Evansville, to Port—Darling and Arkansas.

Press Dispatches.

WASHINGTON.

Turkish Atrocities in Crete Denied.

Resignations of Army Officers Accepted.

WASHINGTON, March 31.

MILITARY.

The resignations of the following officers of the regular army have been accepted:

Brevet Major General E F Townsend, Assistant Adjutant General; Brevet Brigadier General U S Alexander, Jos P Sanderson, Captain Second Regular Cavalry; Captain Joseph F Minty, Eighth Regular Cavalry; First Lieutenant Daniel J Peete, Third Regular Cavalry; Second Lieutenant Edward Ayres, Fifth Regular Cavalry; First Lieutenant Daniel J Peete, Third Regular Cavalry; Second Lieutenant Edward Ayres, Fifth Regular Cavalry; Brevet Major General Wm A Carey, First Lieutenant Fourth Regiment United States Colored Infantry; Second Lieutenant Daniel Hughes, Second Regiment United States Cavalry; Second Lieutenant Ezra M Hayes, Thirteenth Regiment United States Colored Infantry.

SUPREME COURT DECISION.

In the Supreme Court to-day Associate Justice Nelson read a decision and two concurrent opinions in the cases of Mayer and Martin, both of Maryland, involving the right of the Chief Justice to entertain the motion. It was the opinion decided this was a case where the court sometimes been before the court. In which an appeal from an order from the District Court of Maryland, sitting as a Circuit Court, had been taken to the Supreme Court of the United States. The case was one of murder in which it was alleged the court had no jurisdiction.

It was proper to enquire of that the record of the relations of the parties to the case, was by a writ of habeas corpus from the court of the district attorney, a commitment or a certificate under the 14th section of the judiciary act of 1789. The case was remanding to the court of record to proceed accordingly. It does not proceed.

The above is a correct copy case from Florida, the parties having been released on habeas corpus and the case is of interest to those in the Maryland case.

THE MCCARDLE CASE.

Judge Wilson read a motion and made several orders by Mr Black for leave to argue the McCardle case under the recent act of Congress. One of the counsel, Mr Black, went on to argue in view of the recent act of Congress. One of the counsel, Mr Black, went on to argue on the constitution of the act. If there was no objection the court would hear the argument at the opening of the court on Wednesday.

It should here be stated that Judge Black, on making the motion yesterday, also said he did not think the recent act of Congress, with the drawing from the court jurisdiction in the cases of those, was of any effect in the McCardle case.

Mr. Carpenter objected to the argument to-morrow. As it was a case of much importance he could ask for more time.

Judge Wilson asked Mr. Carpenter what time he wanted.

Mr. Carpenter replied, If the argument were over to-morrow, it would suit very well to commence.

Judge Wilson said he would let the question stand till the counsel, Mr Black, came in to the Bench to-morrow morning, and would settling for the arrival of Judge Black.

Mr Black said he was not in a position for arguing the McCardle case any further, and so far as he was concerned, he would not like the privilege of being a few minutes before the chance of Judge Black. He was willing to submit it on a memorandum.

Mr. Carpenter assented